EXECUTIVE DECISION

made by a Cabinet Member



REPORT OF ACTION TAKEN UNDER DELEGATED AUTHORITY BY AN INDIVIDUAL CABINET MEMBER

Executive Decision Reference Number - L27 21/22

| Dec | ision |
|-----|--|
| ı | Title of decision: Central Park Improvements Programme Phase 3 |
| 2 | Decision maker (Cabinet member name and portfolio title): Councillor Nick Kelly, Leader of the Council |
| 3 | Report author and contact details: Zoe Sydenham, Natural Infrastructure Projects and Partnerships Manager |
| | T: 01752 307849 E: zoe.sydenham@plymouth.gov.uk |
| 4 | Decision to be taken: |
| | Notes the allocation of £9 million (2017-2021) for the project within the Capital Budget, agreed at Council on 27 February 2017 |
| | Approves Phase 3 of the Business Case |
| | Allocates an additional £3,073,758 to the Capital Programme for Phase 3 with £2,950,000 funded by corporate borrowing and £123,757.88 secured \$106 funds. |
| | Authorises the procurement process |
| | Delegates the award of the contracts referred to in the Briefing Paper to Paul Barnard, Service Director for Strategic Planning & Infrastructure |
| | |

5 Reasons for decision:

Central Park is one of the most prominent parks in the City and provides equality of access for walking, cycling, play and a range of sports. It is a key resource for people without access to their own green space or funds to pay for gyms/other sports.

This project will further develop the park to meet the aspirations set out in the Central Park Masterplan (adopted by the Council in March 2013 and approved by Cabinet on 12th March 2013) to build a 'premier park for the people of Plymouth and outstanding venue of regional and national significance for active recreation and formal sport, culture, art and the natural environment.'

Phase 3 provides the opportunity to:

- implement a sustainable drainage system to reduce flooding, reducing the risk of pollution and CSO incidents and increase the capacity of highway drainage and combined sewers
- create an exemplar nature-based solution, which could be replicated in other areas of

- the city.
- contribute to improved air quality, heat reduction and carbon sequestration through increased tree planting, future-proofing the tree stock of the park
- increase biodiversity in the park to support connection to nature for health and wellbeing
- ensure Central Park meets the aspirations as the city's Flagship Park, bringing a more diverse and increased audience to the park, supporting active lifestyles and increasing income generation for the park enterprises and events

6 Alternative options considered and rejected:

Plymouth City Council has two main commitments to consider:

• They have committed to deliver the publicly available Masterplan for Central Park that was adopted in March 2013 and has been identified as a priority;

As the largest park in the City, Central Park is a major asset and leisure and recreational hub belonging to Plymouth City Council.

The criteria to measure each of the options against are:

- a) opportunity for community and partner involvement
- b) progression of objectives of the Central Park Masterplan noted in the Joint Local Plan.

The options available:

- 1. **Do nothing** PCC will miss the opportunity to make improvements that will reduce flooding and its associated costs within the park; fail to meet the local need and demand for high quality sports provision as identified through the Playing Pitches Delivery Plan (2018-2021); create barriers to access for the increasing number of local visitors (in particular since COVID) due to poor quality infrastructure (paths, signage, seating). This will cause a greater financial pressure in the future when we are forced to repair parts of the park that cause a health and safety risk. The reputation of the Council will suffer as we have already announced the plans to fully refurbish the park and invested time and resources to develop the proposals for planning permission
- 2. Reduce the aspirations of the drainage solution and associated budget The scheme would have limited potential to provide an improved community facility or to deliver against the Council's biodiversity and climate change goals. It would require time and cost to redesign and prepare a new submission to planning, which may not be approved by planning. The reputation of the Council will suffer as per option I and due to changing the design and aspirations of the drainage solution to one that is less beneficial for the community and park.
- 3. Pursue the project to deliver the Masterplan aspirations to develop the full capacity of the park's potential this option is most likely to meet criteria a & b.

The preferred option is that option 3 is taken forward because this will enable us to deliver PCC's wider Corporate objectives; the aspirations in the Joint Local Plan; lower ongoing maintenance costs for the park; make the best use of the park for opportunities to improve the city's health and well-being and continue to support PCC's reputation as One Council that delivers against its aspirations.

7 Financial implications and risks:

The total value of Phase 3 is £3,073,758 of which £123,757.88 is secured \$106 funds and the remainder corporate borrowing, of which a provision has been made for within the revenue budget. The programme will continue to seek additional funding to replace the corporate borrowing ask.

| Is the decision a Key Decision? (please contact <u>Democratic Support</u> | Yes | No | Per the Constitution, a key decision is one which: |
|--|-----|----|--|
| for further advice) | | x | in the case of capital projects and contract awards, results in a new commitment to spend and/or save in excess of £3million in total |
| | | x | in the case of revenue projects when the decision involves entering into new commitments and/or making new savings in excess of £1 million |
| | | x | is significant in terms of its effect on communities living or working in an area comprising two or more wards in the area of the local authority. |
| If yes, date of publication of the notice in the Forward Plan of Key Decisions | N/A | | |

9 Please specify how this decision is linked to the Council's corporate plan/Plymouth Plan and/or the policy framework and/or the revenue/capital budget: Growing: The delivery of the Masterplan will directly support the Council's vision for the City, supporting its ability to deliver growth by providing high quality and accessible green infrastructure to meet both the current and anticipated future recreational and leisure needs of Plymouth. The café, tennis courts and Community Sports Hub will also provide new employment.

<u>Caring</u>: The new approach to collaborative working to achieve the Park enhancements will empower communities to be involved in decision making and the delivery of the improvements. The health benefits of accessing the natural environment are well documented. The project will build on existing work to remove physical and perceptual barriers to accessing natural space and will encourage community members to become more actively involved in their local site. Opportunities that can support social cohesion will be explored through the project delivery.

<u>Confident</u>: The Masterplan provides an opportunity to focus the delivery of the Parks enhancement in line with One City Council aspirations. Improvements to the overall site will support individuals, community groups and visitors to the city to engage in physical

| Delivers JLP Policy PLY 19 Central Park - Strategic Green Space Site: 2. Enhancing existing and creating new facilities that develop the park's role as a premier park for the city and region whilst being sensitive to the park's natural and historic features. Meets Strategic Objective 4 of Plymouth Plan: 'Delivering a Healthy City' (5) health-promoting natural and built environments, community facilities and public spaces and accessible local services. Delivers Plymouth Plan Policy 14: 'Optimising the health and wellbeing benefits of the natural environment'. (4) Providing high quality outdoor facilities that encourage people to participate in sport and active recreation Supports delivery of Plymouth Plan DEV29: 'to protect and support a diverse and multi-functional network of green space (including play space). The project aims to have a minimum impact on carbon emissions. The Sustainable drainage proposal is a nature based solution that uses natural features to manage water and prevent flooding, minimizing the carbon impact that a hard engineered solution has. Urgent decision It is the decision urgent and to be implemented immediately in the interests of the Council or the public? No x (If no, go to section 13a) Pate Scrutiny Committee Scrutiny Committee Print Name: | | | | activity, | play an | d build social networks. | | | |
|---|------|--|--------------------------------|--|--|---|--|--|--|
| Delivering a Healthy City' (5) health-promoting natural and built environments, community facilities and public spaces and accessible local services. Delivers Plymouth Plan Policy 14: 'Optimising the health and wellbeing benefits of the natural environment'. (4) Providing high quality outdoor facilities that encourage people to participate in sport and active recreation Supports delivery of Plymouth Plan DEV29: 'to protect and support a diverse and multi-functional network of green space (including play space). Please specify any direct environmental implications of the decision (carbon impact) The project aims to have a minimum impact on carbon emissions. The Sustainable drainage proposal is a nature based solution that uses natural features to manage water and prevent flooding, minimizing the carbon impact that a hard engineered solution has. Urgent decisions Is the decision urgent and to be implemented immediately in the interests of the Council or the public? Yes (If yes, please contact Democratic Support (democraticsupport@plymouth.gov.uk) for advice) No | | | | Green Space Site: 2. Enhancing existing and creating new facilities that develop the park's role as a premier park for the city and region whilst being sensitive to | | | | | |
| health and wellbeing benefits of the natural environment". (4) Providing high quality outdoor facilities that encourage people to participate in sport and active recreation Supports delivery of Plymouth Plan DEV29: 'to protect and support a diverse and multi-functional network of green space (including play space). The project aims to have a minimum impact on carbon emissions. The Sustainable drainage proposal is a nature based solution that uses natural features to manage water and prevent flooding, minimizing the carbon impact that a hard engineered solution has. Urgent decisions II Is the decision urgent and to be implemented immediately in the interests of the Council or the public? No x (If no, go to section I3a) Reason for urgency: Date Scrutiny Chair Signature: Scrutiny Committee name: | | | | 'Deliver natural a | ing a H and bui | ealthy City' (5) health-promoting lt environments, community facilities | | | |
| encourage people to participate in sport and active recreation Supports delivery of Plymouth Plan DEV29: 'to protect and support a diverse and multi-functional network of green space (including play space). The project aims to have a minimum impact on carbon emissions. The Sustainable drainage proposal is a nature based solution that uses natural features to manage water and prevent flooding, minimizing the carbon impact that a hard engineered solution has. Urgent decisions I st he decision urgent and to be implemented immediately in the interests of the Council or the public? No x (If no, go to section 13a) Pate Scrutiny Chair Signature: Scrutiny Committee name: | | | | health a | nd well | | | | |
| and support a diverse and multi-functional network of green space (including play space). Please specify any direct environmental implications of the decision (carbon impact) The project aims to have a minimum impact on carbon emissions. The Sustainable drainage proposal is a nature based solution that uses natural features to manage water and prevent flooding, minimizing the carbon impact that a hard engineered solution has. Urgent decisions Is the decision urgent and to be implemented immediately in the interests of the Council or the public? No x (If no, go to section 13a) 12a Reason for urgency: Date Scrutiny Chair Signature: Scrutiny Committee name: | | | | encoura | ge peo | | | | |
| environmental implications of the decision (carbon impact) emissions. The Sustainable drainage proposal is a nature based solution that uses natural features to manage water and prevent flooding, minimizing the carbon impact that a hard engineered solution has. Urgent decisions II Is the decision urgent and to be implemented immediately in the interests of the Council or the public? No x (If no, go to section I3a) Pate Scrutiny Chair Signature: Scrutiny Committee name: | | | | and supp | oort a | diverse and multi-functional network of | | | |
| Is the decision urgent and to be implemented immediately in the interests of the Council or the public? No | 10 | environmental implications of the | emissior nature b manage | ns. The pased so water | e Sustainable drainage proposal is a colution that uses natural features to and prevent flooding, minimizing the | | | | |
| implemented immediately in the interests of the Council or the public? No x (If no, go to section 13a) 12a Reason for urgency: Date Chair Signature: Scrutiny Committee name: | Urge | nt decisions | | | | | | | |
| Reason for urgency: Date Scrutiny Chair Signature: Scrutiny Committee name: | 11 | implemented immediately in the interests of the Council or the | | es | | (democraticsupport@plymouth.gov.uk) for | | | |
| I 2b Scrutiny Chair Signature: Scrutiny Committee name: | | public? | | o | × | (If no, go to section 13a) | | | |
| Chair Signature: Scrutiny Committee name: | I2a | Reason for urgency: | | | | | | | |
| Committee name: | 12b | Chair | | | Date | | | | |
| Print Name: | | Committee | | | | | | | |
| | | Print Name: | | | | | | | |

| Con | sultati | ion | | | | | | | |
|------|---|--|--|----------|---|---------------------|--|--|--|
| 13a | Are any other Cabinet members' portfolios affected by the decision? | | Yes | × | | | | | |
| | | | No | | (If no go to section | n 14) | | | |
| I3b | | ch other Cabinet member's folio is affected by the decision? | Councillor Mrs Maddi Bridgeman Cabinet Member for Environment and Street Scene | | | | | | |
| I3c | Date | e Cabinet member consulted | 25 January 2022 | | | | | | |
| 14 | Has any Cabinet member declared a conflict of interest in relation to the decision? | | Yes | | If yes, please discuss Officer | with the Monitoring | | | |
| | | | No | x | | | | | |
| 15 | | ch Corporate Management | Name | : | Anthony Payne | | | | |
| | Tear | m member has been consulted? | Job tit | tle | Strategic Director fo | or Place | | | |
| | | | | lted | 24 January 2022 | | | | |
| Sign | -off | | | | | | | | |
| 16 | Sign off codes from the relevant departments consulted: | | Democratic Support (mandatory) | | | DS98 21/22 | | | |
| | | | Finance (mandatory) | | | ba.21.22.240 | | | |
| | | | Legal (mandatory) | | | MS/20.01.21 | | | |
| | | | Huma | ın Resc | ources (if applicable) | | | | |
| | | | Corporate property (if applicable) | | | | | | |
| | | | Procu | remen | t (if applicable) | | | | |
| Арр | endic | es | | | | | | | |
| 17 | Ref. | Title of appendix | | | | | | | |
| | Α | Briefing report for publication | | | | | | | |
| | В | Equalities Impact Assessment | | | | | | | |
| | С | Central Park Business Case Phase 3 | ase Phase 3 | | | | | | |
| Con | fident | ial/exempt information | | | | | | | |
| I8a | Do you need to include any confidential/exempt information? | | | | If yes, prepare a second, confidential ('Part II') briefing report and indicate why it is not for | | | | |

| | | | No | × | of the | the Loca relevar | by virtue al Govern at box in | ment Act | : 1972 by w. | ticking / | |
|------|---|--|--|----------------|--|--|---|--|--------------------------------------|----------------|--|
| | | | | | bri | (Keep as much information as possible in the briefing report that will be in the public domain) | | | | | |
| | | | | | Exe | mption | Paragra | aph Nun | nber | | |
| | | | I | | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | |
| I8b | Confidentititle: | ial/exempt briefing report | | | | | | | | | |
| Back | ground Pap | pers | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 19 | Background disclose fact the informa | Il unpublished, background pape papers are <u>unpublished</u> works, ts or matters on which the repo tion is confidential, you must inc | relied o rt or an licate w | n to a impo | a mat ortant is not | erial ex t part of t for pub | tent in pr the worl | eparing th | ne report | e/all of | |
| 19 | Background disclose fact the informa Schedule 12 | papers are <u>unpublished</u> works, ts or matters on which the repo | relied o rt or an licate w | n to a impo | a mat ortant is not ting th | erial ex t part of t for pub ne relev | tent in pr the worl | eparing the control of the control o | ne report . If some of Part 10 | e/all of | |
| 19 | Background disclose fact the informa Schedule 12 | papers are <u>unpublished</u> works, ts or matters on which the repo tion is confidential, you must inc A of the Local Government Act | relied o rt or an licate w | n to a impo | a mat ortant is not ting th | erial ex t part of t for pub ne relev | tent in pr the worl blication b ant box. | eparing the control of the control o | ne report . If some of Part 10 | e/all of | |
| 19 | Background disclose fact the informa Schedule 12 | papers are <u>unpublished</u> works, ts or matters on which the repo tion is confidential, you must inc A of the Local Government Act | relied o rt or an dicate w : 1972 b | n to a impo | a mate ortantis not the control of t | terial ext t part of t for pub ne releve | tent in price the world the world blication bant box. | eparing the control is based by virtue control in the control in t | ne report If some | e/all of of | |
| 19 | Background disclose fact the informa Schedule 12 | papers are <u>unpublished</u> works, ts or matters on which the repo tion is confidential, you must inc A of the Local Government Act | relied o rt or an dicate w : 1972 b | n to a impo | a mate ortantis not the control of t | terial ext t part of t for pub ne releve | tent in price the world the world blication bant box. | eparing the control is based by virtue control in the control in t | ne report If some | e/all of of | |
| | Background disclose fact the informa Schedule 12 | papers are unpublished works, ts or matters on which the repotion is confidential, you must included a factor of the Local Government Activately background paper(s) | relied o rt or an dicate w : 1972 b | n to a impo | a mate ortantis not the control of t | terial ext t part of t for pub ne releve | tent in price the world the world blication bant box. | eparing the control is based by virtue control in the control in t | ne report If some | e/all of of | |
| | Background disclose fact the informal Schedule 12 Title of Inet Member I agree the Corporate I promote equipople who | papers are <u>unpublished</u> works, ts or matters on which the repo tion is confidential, you must inc A of the Local Government Act | relied of the re | ary to | Exe 2 | cerial ext part of the relevant of the regulation and the regulation and the regulation and the regulation of th | tent in print the world blication bant box. Paragr 4 's policy a and to the d promote | eparing the cis based by virtue of aph Nur 5 | ne report If some of Part Io mber 6 | vork, opetween | |

Councillor Nick Kelly

Print Name